Smuxi Issues [FROZEN ARCHIVE] - Support # 721: bad performance with many channels and large history

Status:	Feedback	Priority:	Normal	
Author:	Dmitrijs Ledkovs	Category:		
Created:	06/08/2012	Assigned to:		
Updated:	05/06/2016	Due date:		
Subject:	bad performance with many channels and large history			

Description:

<

<xnox_> I am not happy

<xnox_> smuxi was eating 100% cpu on my server

<xnox_> and reconnecting to server was painfully slow upto 10 minutes to load up the channel user lists & the backlogs

<xnox > and I only had something like 20 channels open

* xnox_ maybe fiddled with persistent storage settings too much

<xnox_> overall I'm using xchat right now

<xnox_> should i be using daily PPA or will that not make a difference

<Cobrian> Sounds like a bug to me

<Cobrian> I don't think meebey has fiddled with the server side too much lately

<Cobrian> Was the 100% CPU condition on from server start or did it appear after extended use?

<Cobrian> (how long was the server component on before you had problems?)

<xnox_> like a few weeks

<xnox_> i killed and restarted the server

<xnox_> reconnecting from the client cause it to go into 100% cpu again

<xnox_> and taking forever to load the backlog.

<xnox_> Cobrian: how long does a reconnect to the server take for you? (and reload all the channels)

<Cobrian> Uhh, at 9 channels currently, with a 50k persistent buffer, maybe a minute with my 100Mbps line?

<Cobrian> Haven't really timed it, fast enough for me to not really mind

<Cobrian> Oh right, and it's a bit slower than that since I only have g-WLAN, so 54mbit maximum

<Cobrian> I doubt such bandwidth is really even required, it's more about parsing the buffers at both ends, maybe

<Cobrian> I remember how meebey spent several weeks just making sure he had squeezed as much speed out of the parser as possible

<xnox_> well I have 100Mbps & 50k persistent buffer and it takes on the range of 15-20 minutes to get all the channels & backlogs

<xnox_> I have about 20 channels

<xnox_> something is not right, maybe my server is throttled?

<Cobrian> Might be, shouldn't take that long

<Cobrian> Is it a physical server or a virtual one?

<xnox_> ec2 micro

<xnox_> virtual

<xnox_> how to migrate servers correctly?

<xnox_> smuxi server that is

<Cobrian> Hmm. The connect phase does use up some cycles, but I'm not familiar with cloud farms to know how badly they start throttling cpu use if they detect a sudden spike

<xnox_> \$ du --si -s .local/share/smuxi/*

<xnox_> 151M .local/share/smuxi/buffers

<xnox_> 60M .local/share/smuxi/logs

<xnox_> and the server has 5Mbit/s symetric link or so

<Cobrian> Copying those over should be enough, although I might consider clearing the buffer dir and deleting the original ini file

<xnox_> if I have to redownload *everything* every single time that's bad.

<Cobrian> And setting it up again

12/16/2025 1/3

- <xnox_> I see no local artifacts, so does it not cache locally and synchronise the delta with the server?
- <Cobrian> No local caches
- <xnox_> that means I should move my server to LAN, but that will suck when I go away to a conference
- <Cobrian> Set your scrollbacks to be shorter, that might help
- <xnox_> which one of the settings? cause I still want full logs, at least on the server.... but then notifications
 will be wrong =(
- <Cobrian> Buffer lines
- <Cobrian> That's the amount the client will download on connect
- <xnox_> It was exceptionaly useful to suspend, move to new meeting room, resume and get the messages across during the UDS
- <Cobrian> At some point there should be a system which will download more scrollback when you scroll past the local client cache
- <Cobrian> But that's still in development I think
- <xnox_> yeah something like http://www.smuxi.org/issues/show/591 but on steroids
- <xnox_> do last bandwidth connection, and then start sync up
- <Cobrian> There should be a ticket for it...
- <xnox_> but I don't understand the reasons for not downloading / keeping historic cache locally
- <xnox_> apart from 'not developed yet'
- <xnox_> =)
- <Cobrian> It's kept in memory I believe, at least my current backlog is loads longer than the 2000 I have my buffer set at
- <Cobrian> As long as you don't quit the client, it should just delta
- <xnox_> but I do want to quick my client =/
- <xnox_> s/quick/quit
- * xnox_ does reboot testing
- <Cobrian> But the buffer type labels in the preferences are a bit unclear
- <xnox_> of kernel/filesystems/installer etc.
- <Cobrian> Well, that's what you get for running stuff on a testbed :D
- * xnox_ only has one machine =(((((
- <xnox_> and no VM is not bare metal testing
- <Cobrian> Get a xenclient base and do two VM's on your workstation machine
- <Cobrian> Xenclient is as close as
- <Cobrian> Especially when you can pick which VM gets hardware level access
- * xnox_ works on linux and doesn't like citrix name...
- <Cobrian> I tried it, only reason I didn't continue was that my fingerprint reader didn't work and the fact it kept doing weird artefacts on screen sometimes
- <Cobrian> Xen stuff is basically a minimal linux that runs the vm base layer
- <xnox_> http://www.smuxi.org/issues/show/685 ?
- <Cobrian> Yeah, that and just wayback scrolling, first to engine buffer and then over to logs, even
- <Cobrian> There's been talk some time back but I guess meebey just hasn't found a good way to bring it
- <xnox_> so right now my option is to move the server to LAN or to continue using xchat, which is actually very nice
- <xnox_> and I am not going to use irssi
- <Cobrian> Well, yeah, unfortunately, unless meebey is lurking and decides to help you debug the server side, because I'm still convinced it's either a bug caused by you doing the move instead of installing a new engine from scratch alltogether, or a problem caused by EC2
- <xnox_> i never moved the engine
- <xnox_> i want to move it now, due to performance

History

06/11/2012 10:50 AM - Mirco Bauer

12/16/2025 2/3

This sounds like an issue with the persistent message buffer which is stored in the db4o database. I am working on a new message backend which will be leveldb based and should use much less resources, memory and CPU wise. See #717 for more details.

05/06/2016 04:44 PM - Mirco Bauer

- Status changed from New to Feedback

Smuxi uses SQLite now, can you re-test and say if the situation improved? Our benchmarks showed SQLite is much faster.

12/16/2025 3/3