## Smuxi Issues [FROZEN ARCHIVE] - Support # 721: bad performance with many channels and large history

Status:	Feedback	Priority: Normal	
Author:	Dmitrijs Ledkovs	Category:	
Created:	06/08/2012	Assigned to:	
Updated:	05/06/2016	Due date:	
Subject:	bad performance with many channe	Is and large history	
Description:	<pre></pre>		
	<xnox_> I am not happy</xnox_>		
	<xnox_> smuxi was eating 100% cpu on my server</xnox_>		
	<pre><xnox_> and reconnecting to server was painfully slow upto 10 minutes to load up the channel user lists</xnox_></pre>		
	the backlogs		
	<xnox_> and I only had something like 20 channels open</xnox_>		
	* xnox_ maybe fiddled with persistent storage settings too much		
	<xnox_> overall I'm using xchat right now</xnox_>		
	<xnox_> should i be using daily PPA or will that not make a difference</xnox_>		
	<cobrian> Sounds like a bug to me</cobrian>		
	<cobrian> I don't think meebey has fiddled with the server side too much lately</cobrian>		
	<cobrian> Was the 100% CPU condition on from server start or did it appear after extended use?</cobrian>		
	<cobrian> (how long was the server component on before you had problems?)</cobrian>		
	<xnox_> like a few weeks</xnox_>		
	<xnox_> i killed and restarted the server</xnox_>		
	<xnox_> reconnecting from the client cause it to go into 100% cpu again</xnox_>		
	<pre><xnox_> and taking forever to load the backlog.</xnox_></pre>		
	<pre><xnox_> Cobrian: how long does a reconnect to the server take for you? (and reload all the channels)</xnox_></pre>		
	<cobrian> Uhh, at 9 channels currently, with a 50k persistent buffer, maybe a minute with my 100Mbp</cobrian>		
	line?		
	<cobrian> Haven't really timed it, fast enough for me to not really mind</cobrian>		
	<cobrian> Oh right, and it's a bit slower than that since I only have g-WLAN, so 54mbit maximum</cobrian>		
	<cobrian> I doubt such bandwidth is really even required, it's more about parsing the buffers at both end</cobrian>		
	maybe		
	<cobrian> I remember how meebey spent several weeks just making sure he had squeezed as much spec</cobrian>		
	out of the parser as possible		
	<pre><xnox_> well I have 100Mbps &amp; 50k persistent buffer and it takes on the range of 15-20 minutes to get</xnox_></pre>		
	the channels & backlogs		
	<xnox_> I have about 20 channels</xnox_>		
	<pre><xnox_> something is not right, maybe my server is throttled?</xnox_></pre>		
	<cobrian> Might be, shouldn't take that long</cobrian>		
	<cobrian> Is it a physical server or a virtual one?</cobrian>		
	<xnox_> ec2 micro</xnox_>		
	<xnox_> virtual</xnox_>		
	<pre><xnox_> how to migrate servers correctly?</xnox_></pre>		
	<xnox_> smuxi server that is</xnox_>		
	<cobrian> Hmm. The connect phase does use up some cycles, but I'm not familiar with cloud farms to know</cobrian>		
	how badly they start throttling cpu use if they detect a sudden spike		
	<xnox_> \$ dusi -s .local/share/smuxi/*</xnox_>		
	<xnox_> 151M .local/share/smuxi/b</xnox_>	uffers	
	<xnox_> 60M .local/share/smuxi/logs</xnox_>		
	<pre><xnox_> and the server has 5Mbit/s symetric link or so</xnox_></pre>		
	<cobrian> Copying those over should be enough, although I might consider clearing the buffer dir an</cobrian>		
	deleting the original ini file		
		erything* every single time that's bad.	

<xnox_> I see no local artifacts, so does it not cache locally and synchronise the delta v <cobrian> No local caches</cobrian></xnox_>	vith the server?
<xnox_> that means I should move my server to LAN, but that will suck when I go away</xnox_>	to a conference
<cobrian> Set your scrollbacks to be shorter, that might help</cobrian>	
<xnox_> which one of the settings? cause I still want full logs, at least on the server will be wrong =(</xnox_>	but then notifications
<cobrian> Buffer lines</cobrian>	
<cobrian> That's the amount the client will download on connect</cobrian>	
<xnox_> It was exceptionaly useful to suspend, move to new meeting room, resume a across during the UDS</xnox_>	ind get the messages
Cobrian> At some point there should be a system which will download more scrollback	k when you scroll past
the local client cache	, ,
<cobrian> But that's still in development I think</cobrian>	
<pre><xnox_> yeah something like http://www.smuxi.org/issues/show/591 but on steroids</xnox_></pre>	
<pre><xnox_> do last bandwidth connection, and then start sync up</xnox_></pre>	
<cobrian> There should be a ticket for it</cobrian>	
<xnox_> but I don't understand the reasons for not downloading / keeping historic cache</xnox_>	e locally
<xnox_> apart from 'not developed yet'</xnox_>	
<xnox_> =)</xnox_>	
<cobrian> It's kept in memory I believe, at least my current backlog is loads longer tha</cobrian>	in the 2000 I have my
buffer set at	<b>y</b>
<cobrian> As long as you don't quit the client, it should just delta</cobrian>	
<xnox_> but I do want to quick my client =/</xnox_>	
<xnox_> s/quick/quit</xnox_>	
* xnox_ does reboot testing	
<cobrian> But the buffer type labels in the preferences are a bit unclear</cobrian>	
<xnox_> of kernel/filesystems/installer etc.</xnox_>	
<cobrian> Well, that's what you get for running stuff on a testbed :D</cobrian>	
* xnox_ only has one machine =((((	
<xnox_> and no VM is not bare metal testing</xnox_>	
<cobrian> Get a xenclient base and do two VM's on your workstation machine</cobrian>	
<cobrian> Xenclient is as close as</cobrian>	
<cobrian> Especially when you can pick which VM gets hardware level access</cobrian>	
* xnox_ works on linux and doesn't like citrix name	
<cobrian> I tried it, only reason I didn't continue was that my fingerprint reader didn't wo</cobrian>	ork and the fact it kept
doing weird artefacts on screen sometimes	
Cobrian> Xen stuff is basically a minimal linux that runs the vm base layer	
<pre><vooblan> /ken stan is basically a minima influx that runs the vin base layer <xnox_> http://www.smuxi.org/issues/show/685 ?</xnox_></vooblan></pre>	
<cobrian> Yeah, that and just wayback scrolling, first to engine buffer and then over to</cobrian>	logs even
Cobrians Teah, that and just wayback scioling, first to engine build and then over to a cobrians. There's been talk some time back but I guess meebey just hasn't found a about.	-
<pre>cxnox_&gt; so right now my option is to move the server to LAN or to continue using xc</pre>	hat, which is actually
very nice	
<xnox_> and I am not going to use irssi</xnox_>	
<cobrian> Well, yeah, unfortunately, unless meebey is lurking and decides to help <math>y</math></cobrian>	ou debug the server
side, because I'm still convinced it's either a bug caused by you doing the move inste	ad of installing a new
engine from scratch alltogether, or a problem caused by EC2	
<xnox_> i never moved the engine</xnox_>	
<xnox_> i want to move it now, due to performance</xnox_>	

This sounds like an issue with the persistent message buffer which is stored in the db4o database. I am working on a new message backend which will be leveldb based and should use much less resources, memory and CPU wise. See #717 for more details.

## 05/06/2016 04:44 PM - Mirco Bauer

- Status changed from New to Feedback

Smuxi uses SQLite now, can you re-test and say if the situation improved? Our benchmarks showed SQLite is much faster.