Status:	New	Priority:	Urgent
Author:	Infinity Zero	Category:	Engine
Created:	04/24/2015	Assigned to:	Mirco Bauer
Updated:	07/05/2015	Due date:	
Complexity:	Medium	·	
Found in Version:			
Subject:	Transformations not specified by the protocol, should be opt-in not opt-out		
Description:	Currently the smuxi engine transforms certain substrings such as emoji etc into richer objects for the fronter		
	to consume. Older frontends sometimes can't consume these, and ignore these objects, resulting in dat		
	loss.		
	Sometimes the transformation is specified by the protocol (e.g. Twitter?), but sometimes this is		
	non-standard extension (e.g. in IRC) chosen by Smuxi - or adapted from elsewhere like mIRC.		
	(1) For the latter case, such arbitrary transformations are not expected by users. This poses a potenti-		
	security risk, and therefore should not be enabled by default. For example, cryptographic strings ar		
	sometimes given in the format xx:yy:zz:etc and the current emoji regex clobbers these. (But a more comple		
	regex probably wouldn't be completely safe either, and might clobber other things.)		
	(2) Even when enabled, frontends should not simply ignore things they don't understand, but at least emit		
	placeholder to the UI to tell the user that something unrecognised is missing.		
	F	e user that something unrecogni	sed is missing.
		e user that something unrecogni Twitter is doing emojis without ir	-
	16:46:22 <meebey> infinity0:</meebey>		nage support I think
	16:46:22 <meebey> infinity0: 16:46:38 <infinity0> sure, that</infinity0></meebey>	Twitter is doing emojis without in t is part of the official protocol sp	nage support I think
	16:46:22 <meebey> infinity0: 16:46:38 <infinity0> sure, that 16:46:47 <infinity0> they wou</infinity0></infinity0></meebey>	Twitter is doing emojis without in t is part of the official protocol sp	nage support I think ecification terpreted by clients as an emoji"
	16:46:22 <meebey> infinity0: 16:46:38 <infinity0> sure, that 16:46:47 <infinity0> they wou</infinity0></infinity0></meebey>	Twitter is doing emojis without in is part of the official protocol sp Id be like "a string :) should be in nowever is NOT part of the IRC p	nage support I think ecification terpreted by clients as an emoji"
	16:46:22 <meebey> infinity0: 16:46:38 <infinity0> sure, that 16:46:47 <infinity0> they wou 16:46:53 <infinity0> this fact h</infinity0></infinity0></infinity0></meebey>	Twitter is doing emojis without in t is part of the official protocol sp Id be like "a string :) should be in however is NOT part of the IRC p pect this, as an IRC user	nage support I think ecification terpreted by clients as an emoji"
	16:46:22 <meebey> infinity0: 16:46:38 <infinity0> sure, that 16:46:47 <infinity0> they wou 16:46:53 <infinity0> this fact h 16:47:04 <infinity0> i don't ex 16:46:49 <meebey> ok I think</meebey></infinity0></infinity0></infinity0></infinity0></meebey>	Twitter is doing emojis without in t is part of the official protocol sp Id be like "a string :) should be in nowever is NOT part of the IRC p pect this, as an IRC user	nage support I think ecification terpreted by clients as an emoji"
	16:46:22 <meebey> infinity0: 16:46:38 <infinity0> sure, that 16:46:47 <infinity0> they wou 16:46:53 <infinity0> this fact h 16:47:04 <infinity0> i don't ex 16:46:49 <meebey> ok I think 16:47:02 <meebey> when the</meebey></meebey></infinity0></infinity0></infinity0></infinity0></meebey>	Twitter is doing emojis without in t is part of the official protocol sp Id be like "a string :) should be in nowever is NOT part of the IRC p pect this, as an IRC user	nage support I think ecification iterpreted by clients as an emoji" protocol data to do something nice to the user
	16:46:22 <meebey> infinity0: 16:46:38 <infinity0> sure, that 16:46:47 <infinity0> they wou 16:46:53 <infinity0> this fact h 16:47:04 <infinity0> i don't ex 16:46:49 <meebey> ok I think 16:47:02 <meebey> when the</meebey></meebey></infinity0></infinity0></infinity0></infinity0></meebey>	Twitter is doing emojis without in t is part of the official protocol sp Id be like "a string :) should be in however is NOT part of the IRC p pect this, as an IRC user a I get your point a protocol does not support meta ke a feature that transforms regu	nage support I think ecification iterpreted by clients as an emoji" protocol data to do something nice to the user

Smuxi Issues [FROZEN ARCHIVE] - Bug # 1043: Transformations not specified by the protocol, should be op

Associated revisions

06/22/2015 07:30 PM - Mirco Bauer

Engine: make Emojis opt-in for now (refs: #1043)

History

04/26/2015 08:41 AM - Mirco Bauer

- Category set to Engine

- Assigned to set to Mirco Bauer

Features (like metadata) not specified in the respective protocol specification should not be enabled by default to enhance the user experience. It can lead to issue as reported in this issue. So Smuxi should disable mIRC color support, URI detection (turning into clickable links) and heuristic link detection for the IRC protocol is that is a bare text protocol. The same needs to be considered and verified for Twitter, XMPP, Campfire, JabbR. If message patterns also fall into this category has to be discussed.

04/26/2015 08:44 AM - Mirco Bauer

- Priority changed from Normal to Urgent

04/26/2015 08:47 AM - Mirco Bauer

The fallback of unknown/unsupported message part types needs to be dealt with in a separate issue/ticket. Smuxi should probably show an broken image placeholder or something with a mouse-over hint that says that the frontend can't display a part of the message. This is important so the user is aware that he is not seeing all information. This is "data loss" but not strictly as other frontends that connect at the same time or a bit later (not too late, because the message could move out of displayable buffer) and it will show/render the message completely.

07/05/2015 03:07 AM - Mirco Bauer

- Target version changed from 1.0 to 1.1
- Complexity set to Medium

For Smuxi 1.0 emojis are now opt-in, other transformations have to be reviewed for 1.1, thus this ticket stays open for 1.1